Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/759,804	CHAUDHURI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marc R. Filipczyk	2163
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Marc R. Filipczyk.	(3) <u>Stephen Siu</u> .	
(2) <u>Peter Taylor</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 July 2007</u>	Time: <u>1:00pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Final Rejection		
Claims discussed: 49-53		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	•	
Mark Thipsyl HHVT (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative 5	ignature – ir appropriate)

Application No. 09/759,804

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner upon reviewing the dependent claims and Applicant's disclosure suggested amending most of the dependent claimed limitations into independent claim format and indicated allowable subject matter which is specified in the Office Action. Applicant also agreed to file a terminal disclaimed against U.S. Case Number 11/296,036 because of the related subject matter. Agreement was reached.